

Three **RED CARDS**

for CORONA

There is no emperor just his clothes

How to protect yourself and others

For those who believe in viruses, the corona measures seem sensible in principle, perhaps exaggerated and therefore annoying. For a minority of the population who know or feel that something fundamentally wrong with the claims about viruses, infection theories and vaccinations, the corona hysteria is stressful. For those who already understand that there is a better explanation for illnesses and life, the corona crisis is an opportunity for everyone: for a leap in development in people's self-confidence.

The implementation that has begun and the prospect of direct or indirect mandatory vaccination with so-called genetic engineering vaccines is a threat to everyone who forces constructive action. Corona vaccines contain permanently toxic mRNA in high concentrations. mRNA is the name for active nucleic acids for the formation of proteins. Natural mRNA is never free in organisms. In very small quantities only in very specific places where proteins are needed and are broken down again immediately after use. Technically produced mRNA slowly degrades. It can permanently insert itself into our chromosomes, damaging them and thus our offspring. The administration of artificial mRNA, no matter what kind, ALWAYS causes derailing inflammatory reactions and allergies in the body. Therapy based on the use of mRNA has never worked, on the contrary. The effects were always "side effects" afflicted to fatal. These are all long-known findings in toxicology.

Toxic nanoparticles are used as supposed transport aids for the mRNA from the implantation site in the muscle to the target locations, the cell nuclei throughout the body. The reason why they are so toxic is that they accelerate chemical reactions due to their huge surface in relation to their volume. This is known as catalysis. Nanoparticles are persistently toxic in the body because they are only slowly or not at all broken down and excreted. Nanoparticles are mainly responsible for damage to the blood and nervous systems, the brain and the liver. The combined effects of mRNA and nanoparticles increase the toxicity of the individual substances. The increasing combination effects of the two substances have a negative effect in the body wherever they go individually by chance and unpredictably. Their respective mono and combination effects are belittled as side effects of the vaccines, although they are the only possibilities and therefore the main effects of these vaccines.

With knowledge of real biology and biochemistry, vaccines cannot have a positive effect. The historical proof: definable individual diseases that should be prevented by vaccinations were always at the low level of today, before the respective vaccines were used. (i)

What our politicians are only slowly becoming aware of is the statement by the corona vaccine manufacturer that the corona vaccines do NOT protect against (alleged) infection and NOT against the transmission of the alleged virus. Before the federal election in September of this year, politicians hoped to be able to celebrate victory over the virus by means of genetic engineering, which they had subsidized with billions as an alleged future technology for decades. But because the genetic engineering vaccines are not supposed to protect against infection, it is concluded that we will have to live with the mask and the other restrictions for a long time, perhaps forever, despite the complete vaccination of the population. Politicians are demanding, stuck in their coercive logic and in order to be able to save face, that corona vaccinations from different manufacturers must be inoculated one after the other, so that maybe, hopefully by chance, if we are lucky and especially if the virus does not mutate too much, receive at least a little more "vaccination protection" in the future.

The Federal Minister of Health of the FRG, Jens Spahn, is therefore planning to buy 300 million vaccine doses for the 83 million inhabitants of Germany, so that everyone can and should be vaccinated at least four times. The foreseeable mass health damage caused by the corona vaccinations, in combination with the lockdown effects, will foreseeably lead to excessive demands on the already damaged economy. Failing economic power automatically leads to the collapse of public order. There is therefore an acute need for action in order to avoid the likely collapse of our civilization due to the historically foreseeable self-success of the corona hysteria. And to use Corona as an opportunity for everyone. (ii)

Start

Here the beginning of an action is outlined how you, the readers of the w + magazine, your families, acquaintances, friends and others can first protect yourself personally from this threat and thus automatically use the corona crisis as a unique opportunity for everyone. The emperor is not naked, he does not exist. Only the fear of it, the supposedly insidious and contagious evil from nature, is terrible, real, dominant and old: This fear is the result of the 2500 year old good-bad materialism of our culture, the development of which we have made comprehensible. By looking at existing knowledge together, we can offer a better understanding of life and its phenomena. (iii) Plato and his student Socrates pointed out the central misconception of materialism and its consequences. (iv) Seamus O'Mahony, in his 2019 book "Can Medicine be cured? The Corruption of a Profession" on page 262 points out that there is also this view of health / diseases, but so far it has not had a chance to be applied. (v)

It is no longer about (as in the previous small-small campaigns since 1995) to ask the virus-proof question to those responsible. That is finished. It is proven and easily verifiable that all virologists since 1954 have been deceiving themselves and the public with seven major misconceptions. They give suspicions about viruses as alleged evidence of their existence. It starts with virologists interpreting the death of cells in the test tube as evidence AND as isolation of viruses. Then they interpret typical components of dying cells in the test tube as components of viruses and only use them to construct mental models of viruses and their alleged genetic material strands. The structures that were exposed as viruses in the electron microscope are perfectly normal components of dying cells. Such "viruses" have never been seen in a person or their fluids, isolated from them or their alleged genetic material has been proven as a whole.

For your final reassurance: there can be no disease-causing viruses with knowledge of the real biology. The real causes of the disease are known and have nothing to do with material contagion. (vi) If you research this for the "Red Card for Corona" campaign, you will be rewarded with another and certain insight: All virologists - not only for Corona - have made it through refuted their own statements on seven key points. (vii)

The quickest and easiest fact for you to check and determine, which you will come to in your research, is the clear evidence of the anti-scientific nature of ALL virologists. It is a written duty of every scientist to constantly question himself and others, to question everything. In spite of this, virologists have never carried out or published the mandatory control tests for checking their methods, and not for a single step in their activities. For this reason, no statements by the virologists should be considered scientific.

All Corona measures are based on the Infection Protection Act (IfSG) and are justified by it - but only if the law (sic!) Is complied with. § 1 IfSG subjects all those involved to scientific principles. Since this scientific nature is not a prerequisite for the corona measures AND the statements of the virologists are clearly refuted, all corona measures lose their validity and justification. Even more: all Corona measures have become illegal through the discovery of these facts.

It's that simple. Here are the binding laws, there the determination of the anti-scientific nature of virologists and the refutation of all their claims. Consequence: The red card for the actions and claims of the virologists and for the corona measures. The mistake of the bosses (= sovereigns) of our state, i.e. our mistake, the mistake of the population, was not to question the virologists and the central basis of Corona, the claim to existence of a Corona virus. Trust is good, but constant control and constant questioning is better. It is the most important duty of a citizen, but also of a scientist.

Concrete

I now ask you to check these factual statements yourself and with the help of the two publications relevant to the corona virus. (viii) Our book on Corona (see quote 2), our texts on the history of virology (ix), our videosx and the very helpful important text collection from Corona_Faktenxi and their extensive "FAQs" that answer all your questions. If you are sure after checking these facts, you can get started and show Corona the red card.

How? I suggest that you communicate these facts to the management of your responsible health department in writing by registered mail with a reply card. In the cover letter you ask the management of the health department to inform all employees about these facts. You can ask the health department to check these factual designations immediately, for which the scientifically trained and tested specialists of the health authorities only need a few moments. The highly endowed experts in the health authorities do not need more time to read the "Materials & Methods" section of the two relevant publications on Corona.

You can set the head of the health authorities a short period of one week within which you can ask them to publicly withdraw all corona measures, to immediately stop testing and, above all, vaccinating. If you set a deadline, it makes sense to notify you that failure to meet the deadline can only be used as proof of the correctness of your statements and your immediate release from all corona measures. You can tell these scientifically fully trained specialists that you reserve the right to report to the police for abuse of office, interference with the fundamental rights of education, freedom, property, parental rights, dignity, the right to life and physical integrity, and involvement in manslaughter will ask. With your communication of these easily and quickly verifiable facts, no employee of a health department can withdraw from the fact that they did not know or suspect anything.

You can inform the head of the health department that for you personally, with immediate effect, with this letter, all corona measures no longer apply, may and may apply. Request the management of your health department to confirm the correctness of your factual findings and the resulting exemption from all corona measures - because their assumed scientific justification has clearly ceased to exist - in writing and to confirm within the deadline. Request the health department to notify the responsible regulatory office of the discontinuation of all corona measures and obligations for you, your family and for all citizens in order to save the unnecessary effort and costs immediately

Further procedure and intermediate goals

I would like to publish all letters and replies from the “Red Card for Corona” campaign on the Internet. This in order to make the admissions of the health authorities of the evidence of all corona virus allegations and measures available to the public and to the interested population. To do this, you and we will evaluate the answers to the letters and thus optimize our further procedure. The goal: to transform the self-destructive momentum of virus superstition into an understanding of biology and our history.

I ask that you publish your letters and the response letters you receive on our website **www.rotkartefuercorona.de**, which has been set up for this purpose, as soon as you receive an answer. To do this, please scan your cover letter and the letters received and upload these files using the input option. We evaluate your letter to see whether your address is correct and then activate the documents. We will write to you personally or as a group of the active via email if we have suggestions for improvement for the resulting answers or further ideas. Of course we will answer your questions, which arise from your actions, if you get stuck in this matter yourself at **info@rotkartefuercorona.de**. We offer the possibility that you network with each other. We offer those who take action the opportunity to give “questions and answers” via online video.

It is to be expected that some health authorities do not trust themselves to be competent in deciding on your factual specification and request and will pass on your letter to the competent authority at the federal state level. Scan such processes so that all active persons and we get to know such possible excuses in order to anticipate them in future entries and also to be able to evaluate these excuses when processing AFTER the end of the corona panic.

I do not provide standardized cover letters, as these are usually rejected and ignored. The “Red Card for Corona” is particularly effective through the individuality of your understanding and argument. The “red card” hits the executing and responsible actors of the epidemic fear policy at the grassroots level. It is foreseeable that when dealing with Corona, as with the wall rifle trials, the perpetrators at the grassroots level will again be called to account “as the last link in the chain of instructions”. Perhaps these “last links in the chain of command” have learned from history and do not allow them to “burn up” themselves, like the population to be protected, out of sheer madness and sheer lust for power, damaged by the corona measures and by extreme toxic and senseless vaccinations can be damaged, rendered sterile and killed. So please, get on with the creative work. Please always remain friendly and leave the emotions to those who believe in the existence of the virus unchecked. This is a prerequisite for participating in this campaign and a prerequisite for our success.

The seven arguments

Here, the seven essential arguments are summarized as to what virologists do and why they refute themselves by doing so. Every single argument and what is done in the laboratory refutes the whole of virology on its own. The fact that for every single one of the seven arguments of the virologists the control tests that are prescribed in science in order to be allowed to call something scientific are missing, in each case individually refutes the claim of virology to scientificity. Since the scientific basis was never given, your determination of these facts removes the legal basis for all corona measures at the same time. Your red card is the green traffic light for life and our future viability.

1. Virologists interpret the death of cells in the laboratory as viral. Due to a lack of control attempts, they overlook the fact that they kill the cells in the laboratory themselves and unintentionally by starving and poisoning them. This misinterpretation is based on a single publication by John Franklin Enders and a colleague from June 1, 1954. This publication was ruled by the highest court in the measles virus trial that it contained no evidence of a virus. This publication became the exclusive basis not only for measles virology, but for all virology since 1954 and corona hysteria .

2. Virologists mentally assemble the shortest pieces of so-called genetic information from dying cells to form a very long genetic strand, which they output as the genetic strand of a virus. This conceptual / computational process is called alignment. In doing so, they did not make the control attempts, the attempt to conceptually / computationally construct the desired genetic strand even from short pieces of so-called genetic information from non-infected sources.

3. For the alignment of a virus, virologists always need a given genetic strand of a virus. For this, however, they always use a genetically / computationally generated genetic strand and never a real one, one found in reality. In doing so, they never attempt to check whether or not so-called genetic information could also be constructed from the existing data set, or not.

4. Virologists have never seen or isolated "viruses" in humans, animals, plants or their fluids. You only did it seemingly, indirectly, and only ever by means of very special and artificial cell systems in the laboratory. They never mentioned the control attempts or documented whether they succeeded in depicting and isolating viruses in and from humans, animals, plants or their fluids.

5. Virologists have never isolated, biochemically characterized the alleged viruses that they photograph using electron microscope images or obtained their alleged genetic material from them. They have never conducted or published control experiments as to whether, after isolating these structures, it was actually possible to detect “viral” proteins (the envelope of the virus) and, above all, the viral genome, which is supposed to be the central component and characteristic of a virus.

6. Virologists report typical artifacts of dying tissue / cells and typical structures, which arise when the cell’s own components such as proteins, fats and the solvents used are swirled, as viruses or viral components. Here, too, there are no control experiments with cells / tissues that were not infected but were also treated.

7. The so-called transmission attempts that virologists make to prove the transmission and pathogenicity of the suspected viruses refute the entire virology. Obviously, it is the experiments themselves that trigger the symptoms, which animal experiments provide as evidence of the existence and effectiveness of the suspected viruses. Here, too, there are no control attempts in which exactly the same thing is done, only with non-infected or sterilized materials.

For better understanding

We have pointed out all the essential points for understanding and responsible action within the “Red Card For Corona” campaign in the sources. Here I would like to draw your attention to the importance of the refutation of virology by oneself, as it was published in 1999, in order to better understand and comprehend what has already been said. With this knowledge, you and others can more easily understand why and how an unjustified, unscientific award of a Nobel Prize on December 10, 1954, revived the scientifically refuted virology. This resurgence of something that had been refuted led to the HIV / AIDS mass killings (xii), umpteen epidemics and pandemics, the millions of cattle burned because of “BSE”, the millions of animals killed because of the various “influenza” panic reactions and above all because of the unquestioned and senseless ones Vaccination campaigns in our history, direct and foreseeable to a sure-fire success of the collective corona hysteria.

Until 1954, the representatives of the various doctrines within virology were in agreement that there was no scientific knowledge about what a virus should be, how it could be structured, how its existence could be scientifically proven. The adherents of the various opinions about what viruses should be had refuted themselves thoroughly and scientifically correct. This has been comprehensively presented in the overview work “On the history of early virus research” from the Max Planck Institute for the History of Science from 1999. (xiii)

By 1954 there were two major doctrinal opinions within virology about what a virus should be. The “prevailing” doctrine in medicine up to 1954 assumed that viruses are poisonous proteins. These protein toxins, like the supposedly poisonous toxins of bacteria, should cause disease and thereby spread by multiplying themselves.

Until 1952 it was firmly believed that the assumed genetic material of life consists of pure protein and that the assumed protein viruses can therefore multiply themselves. The other of the two great and experimentally refuted doctrines assumed that viruses are purely genetic elements that would have different effects from protein toxins. It was believed that this type of virus was sometimes dangerous and sometimes helpful in inheritance and could thus create disease as well as disease resistance. But since it was taught at the time that there was inheritance only with sexual reproduction and that the viruses were not allowed sexuality, this virus model had no chance of being recognized by opinion leaders in science.

How mini-spores became a model for viruses

In 1952 it was proven that proteins cannot multiply directly, but rather require the help of a nucleic acid to develop. This evidence was the definitive refutation of protein toxin virology and its virus models. With this observation it was believed to have proven above all that the long sought and suspected genetic material of life would exist in the form of nucleic acid DNA. This assumption, in turn, was refuted by 2001. (xiv) The discovery of the necessity of nucleic acid for the production of proteins was established in bacteria when they form mini-spores due to adverse living conditions.

Because these minispores were misinterpreted as phages as viruses of the bacteria, virology was given a new way of imagining how the suspected viruses that are to be discovered in the future could look and are structured. But virologists have never found such structures and a specific nucleic acid that always occurs in minispores. That is why they construct models of long nucleic acids purely in thought, from real fragments of dying cells. Typical structures of dying cells under the electron microscope are misinterpreted as viruses, although these structures have never been characterized biochemically or the viral genetic material strand, the viral genome, has been isolated from them.

The virologists overlooked the fact that the mini-spores of the bacteria cannot be viruses because they do not have any negative functions. Nevertheless, these mini-spores have become the model for all of virology to this day, as can be read in the reason for the 1969 Nobel Prize for mini-spore phage researchers (xv). The mini-spores of bacteria and those of other simple organisms, which are referred to as "giant viruses" (xvi), are always very easy to isolate, i.e. to concentrate and free from all other components. Minispores are also photographed within their educational organisms and ALL their components are regularly characterized biochemically. All minispores (misinterpreted as phages / giant viruses) always contain all proteins and, above all, the nucleic acid, which is always the same length and always the same composition for each type of minispore.

An unfortunate Nobel Prize turned another speculation into a scientific fact

Virology, which had previously and thoroughly refuted itself, only experienced a rebirth by chance, indirectly and in an extremely unscientific manner: By awarding the Nobel Prize for Medicine in 1954 for an observation six years ago within the old “A virus is a poisonous one Protein “Virology! This scientifically unjustifiable Nobel Prize ensured that the already refuted belief that viruses exist could be revived. This is because the Nobel Prize automatically made a completely different speculation published by the Nobel Prize recipient six months earlier on June 1, 1954 about the never questioned “scientific fact”, and this alleged fact became the basis of future virology. On June 1st, 1954, John Franklin Enders speculated that it might be possible to multiply viruses even if you don't know about them.

Enders and his colleague believed that the death of animal tissues in the test tube could be interpreted as evidence of the presence and multiplication of viruses. After the Nobel Prize, “the whole world” believed it and assumed that it was a scientifically proven fact. In the publication of their speculation dated June 1, 1954 (xvii), Enders and his colleague expressly and repeatedly pointed out that this death of animal tissues in the test tube probably has nothing to do with the processes in humans and that unknown factors or viruses hide the death in animals the tissue could cause. They observed that the tissues also died, even if no supposedly infected materials were placed on them by sick people. Therefore, they urged readers to re-examine these observations rigorously and using scientific methods in the future.

After the Nobel Prize on December 10th, 1954, these warnings of June 1st, 1954 were forgotten and Enders claimed a little later that all future vaccine development would be based on exactly this speculation of June 1st, 1954. That's how it actually turned out, until today. A Nobel Prize turned a refuted speculation into a scientific fact that led to the resurgence of refuted virology and, as a direct consequence, Corona.

The fact that the speculation of June 1, 1954 and the rebirth of virology was possible at all was due to the fact that Enders and all virologists have not carried out the control tests prescribed in science to this day. This is why they and all virologists have overlooked the fact that they unintentionally and unnoticed kill the tissue / cells in the test tube. Through starvation and poisoning with certain antibiotics that kill not only bacteria but also human, animal and plant tissues. The final judgment in the measles virus trial has deprived the entire virology of the scientific and thus the legal basis. It was established and confirmed at all three court levels that the publication by Enders of June 1, 1954 also contains no evidence of the existence of a virus.

i See the work on this by the association www.libertas-sanitas.de

ii Ursula Stoll and Stefan Lanka: Corona. Further into chaos or opportunity for everyone. Book, 210 pages. 2nd edition, 2021. Available from wplus-verlag.de or <http://www.praxis-neue-medizin-verlag.de/>

iii Introduction to a new way of looking at life Part I to III. To be found in issues No. 1, 2 and 3/2019 of the magazine WissenscheKtivenPlus. Available from wplusverlag.de

iv Quote from Plato (427 - around 348 BC), Latin Plato, Greek philosopher, student of Socrates:

“Just as one should not undertake to heal the eyes without the head, nor the head without the whole body, so also not the body without the soul; but this would also be the reason why the doctors with the Hellenes would not have been able to cope with most diseases, because they misjudged the whole to which such care would have to be paid, and in whose malaise it would be impossible for any part to be well. Because everything [...] springs from the soul, the bad and the good from the body and the whole person, and streams from there to him as from the head to the eyes. “

Source: Plato, Charmides, created around 380 BC. Chr. 156e. Translated by Friedrich Schleiermacher

v The physician and author Seamus O'Mahony, whose book “Can Medicine Be Cured? The Corruption of a Profession ”(translated: Can medicine be cured? The corruption of a profession) comes to a grim prognosis: From his point of view, only a humanitarian catastrophe can bring about a reform of medicine.

vi Giuliana Lüssi: Die Universalbiologie - eine Lebenseinstellung. Buch, 180 Seiten. 2. Auflage, 2021. Zu beziehen über wplus-verlag.de oder praxis-neue-medizin.de

vii Stefan Lanka: The perpetrators of the corona crisis are clearly identified. Virologists who claim disease-causing viruses are science frauds and are subject to criminal prosecution. Article in the magazine WissenschaKtPlus 4/2020 Available from wplus-verlag.de

This post is free on the internet at wissenscheKtivenplus.de, here under “Important texts”.

viii *A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China*. Nature 579, 265–269 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3>. In this publication, the first conceptual / computational construction of the genetic strand (= genome) of SARS-CoV-2 is described. This calculated genome became the exclusive template for all subsequent constructions, in which only what was specified in this work was repeated. This work did not appear online until February 3, 2020, which gives the impression that the second work on this (see below), which was published earlier, apparently came about independently of each other. This is not the case: The conceptual / calculated gene sequence in this first work was published in advance on January 10, 2020 on the Internet and thus serves as a model and template for what SARS-CoV-2 should be.

The second scientific publication on the new corona virus, with which the theoretical / computational construction of the viral genome was confirmed in the first work and supplemented by electron microscopic images of the “virus”: A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 727-33. DOI: 10.1056 / NEJ-Moa2001017. Published online in advance on January 24th, 2020.

ix Stefan Lanka: Misinterpretation of the virus. Part 1 (in SciencePlus 1/2020) and Part II (in SciencePlus 2/2020). The article “Misinterpretation Virus Part II” is free on the Internet at wissenscheiktivenplus.de, here under “Important Texts”.

x See “projekt-immanuel.de” and the Youtube channel “Dean’s Danes”

xi See “Corona_Fakten” on the Internet provider Telegram, which is available as an app for mobile devices and PCs.

xii To get an impression of how HIV / AIDS still kills today, I recommend the film, which is freely available on the Internet: “I wont go quietly! by Anne Sono, who has made a positive name for herself through her commitment and films for home schooling.

xiii Karlheinz Lüdtkke: On the history of early virus research. How understanding of virus nature had evolved with advances in technology in the study of “filterable” infectious agents. Reprint No. 125 (1999) of the Max Planck Institute for the History of Science, 89 pages.

xiv In an attempt to research human DNA, only the refutations of all model ideas about heredity and the role of DNA emerged. See the article “Hereditary material in dissolution” in DIE ZEIT from June 12, 2008, which can be found freely on the Internet. See our numerous articles about “genetic engineering” in the magazine w + (WissenschaftPlus).

xv See the rationale for the 1969 Nobel Prize to the Phage Group on nobelprize.org

xvi See vii

xvii ”Enders, J.F. & Peebles, T.C. (1954) Propagation in tissue cultures of cytopathogenic agents from patients with measles. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine, 86 (2): 277-286. “To be found at: <https://pubmedinfo.files.wordpress.com/2017/01/propagation-in-tissue-cultures-of-cytopathogenic-agents-from-patients-with-measles.pdf>